
## 00389

1975/11/07



S E CER ET

OP IMMED
VZCZCHTE135
OO NTE
DE WTE30 #0135 3110945 /
O 070940Z NOV 75
FM USLO PEKING

TO THE WHITE HOUSE

SECRET SENSITIVE/HANDLE VIA VOYAGER CHANNELS

PEKING 135 07 NOVEMBER 1975 FOR : SECRETARY KISSINGER

FROM : GEORGE BUSH

THE PRESIDENT'S TRIP: COMMUNIQUE

THE CHINESE SEEM TO BE STICKING TO THEIR LINE THAT THEY WOULD BE SATISFIED TO HAVE NO COMMUNIQUE AT ALL FOLLOWING THE PRESIDENT'S VISIT. RECALLING THE DISCUSSION YOU AND I HAD ON THIS MATTER EARLIFR, WE HAVE RESEARCHED THE PRECEDENTS ON COMMUNIQUES ISSUED IN PEKING THIS YEAR. WE COUNT SIXTEEN VISITORS TO THE PRC SO FAR THIS YEAR WHO HAVE BEEN ACCORDED HEAD OF STATE/GUVERNMENT PROTOCOL TREATMENT BY THE CHINESE. OF THESE, THE MAJORITY (NINE) DID NOT HAVE COMMUNIQUES. THEY INCLUDED SCHMIDT (FRG), LE DUAN (DRV), TINDEMANS (BELGIUM), AND SIX OTHERS. OF THE SEVEN WHO DID HAVE COMMUNIQUES, TWO--MARCOS AND KHUKPIT--DISCUSSED UNLY THE ESTAPLISHMENT OF DIPLOMATIC RELATIONS. TWO OTHERS WORE CLEARLY SUBSTANTIVE -- KIM (KOREA) AND SAMPHAN (CAMPODIA). THE REMAINING THREE--ALL CALLED "PRESS COMMUNIQUES" -- INCLUDED THO (YUGOSLAYIA AND TRINIDAD/TOBAGO) WHICH WERF TEN AND NINE PARAS LONG BUT DEVOID OF SUBSTANCE EXCEPT FOR SHORT BILATERAL SECTIONS, AND DNF (GUYANA) CONTATNING CONSIDERABLE DISCUSSION OF THE WORLD SCENE.

THE PRECEDENTS ARE THUS CONFUSED, BUT A SUBSTANTIVE JOINT COMMUNIQUE IS CLEARLY NOT AN OBLIGATORY PART OF A PROVISIT. AT THE SAME TIME, THERE HAVE BEEN ENOUGH COMMUNIQUES TO GIVE THE PRESS SUFFICIENT AMMUNITION TO CLAIM THAT THE LACK OF ONE MEANS NO AGREEMENT ON ISSUES.

AT THIS POINT, I DON'T SEE ANY PROFIT IN FURTHER BEATING THE DEAD HORSE OF A SUBSTANTIVE COMMUNIQUE. I BELIEVE THAT THE PRESIDENT CAN GET BY AT HOME WITH NO COMMUNIQUE OR A NONSUBSTANTIVE ONE AS LONG AS THE TOASTS AND PRESS BACKGROUNDING ARE CAREFULLY HANDLED.

WARM REGARDS.

SCONCROFT, HCFARLANE, RODMAN

PECALLED PAGE 01

TOR:311/18:04Z OTG:070940Z NOV 75

\*\*\*\* S F C R E T \*\*\*\*\*\* COPY

PSN: 409557